

Quantifying over tuples with Algebra

The Multidimensional Block Product

Klaus-Jörn Lange

September 2015

Contents

- Logic \mapsto Algebra - The Block Product

Contents

- Logic \mapsto Algebra - The Block Product [Lite](#)

Contents

- Logic \mapsto Algebra - The Block Product [Lite](#)
- The Counting Case - [Sums](#)

[The Block Product lite for symmetric quantifiers, only](#)

Contents

- Logic \mapsto Algebra - The Block Product [Lite](#)
- The Counting Case - [Sums](#)
- The General Case - [Sequences](#)

The Block Product lite for symmetric quantifiers, only

3 Equivalent Views

$$L \subseteq \Sigma^*$$

Existence of constant depth poly-sized circuit family accepting L



Existence of first-order formula defining L

3 Equivalent Views

$$L \subseteq \Sigma^*$$

Existence of constant depth poly-sized circuit family accepting L



Existence of first-order formula defining L



Existence of morphism into a blockproduct recognizing L

$$\phi = Q_{1 \ x_1} Q_{2 \ x_2} \cdots Q_{d \ x_d} \psi(\vec{x})$$

is transformed into

$$M_\phi = M_{Q_1} \square M_{Q_2} \cdots \square M_{Q_d} \square M_\psi$$

and $h_\phi : \Sigma^* \rightarrow M_\phi$ such that

$$w \models \phi \Leftrightarrow h_\phi(w) \in M_\phi^+.$$

$$\phi = Q_{1\ x_1} Q_{2\ x_2} \cdots Q_{d\ x_d} \psi(\vec{x})$$

is transformed into

$$M_\phi = M_{Q_1} \square M_{Q_2} \cdots \square M_{Q_d} \square M_\psi$$

and $h_\phi : \Sigma^* \rightarrow M_\phi$ such that

$$w \models \phi \Leftrightarrow h_\phi(w) \in M_\phi^+.$$

But this works for unary quantifiers, only!

How to express algebraically $Q_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d} \psi(x_1, \dots, x_d)$?

How to express algebraically $Q_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d} \psi(x_1, \dots, x_d)$?

Need to provide sums of the form

$$r_{xy}rr + rr_{xy}r + rrr_{xy} + r_x r_y r + r_x r r_y + r_y r_x r + r_y r r_x + r r_x r_y + r r_y r_x$$

How to express algebraically $Q_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d} \psi(x_1, \dots, x_d)$?

Need to provide sums of the form

$$r_{xy}rr + rr_{xy}r + rrr_{xy} + r_x r_y r + r_x r r_y + r_y r_x r + r_y r r_x + r r_x r_y + r r_y r_x$$

or sequences/matrices of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} r_{xy}rr & r_x r_y r & r_x r r_y \\ r_y r_x r & r r_{xy} r & r r_x r_y \\ r_y r r_x & r r_y r_x & r r r_{xy} \end{array}$$

Algebraic Simulation of Logic

Q a first order quantifier, w a word of length $n = |w|$:

Algebraic Simulation of Logic

Q a first order quantifier, w a word of length $n = |w|$:

When is w a model of $Q_x \psi(x)$?

$$w \models Q x \psi(x) \Leftrightarrow$$

Algebraic Simulation of Logic

Q a first order quantifier, w a word of length $n = |w|$:

When is w a model of $Q_x \psi(x)$?

$$w \models Q x \psi(x) \Leftrightarrow$$

First, x is attached to all possible positions

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} w_{x=1} \models \psi? = b_1 \\ w_{x=2} \models \psi? = b_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_{x=i} \models \psi? = b_i \\ \vdots \\ w_{x=n} \models \psi? = b_n \end{array} \right\}$$

Algebraic Simulation of Logic

Q a first order quantifier, w a word of length $n = |w|$:

When is w a model of $Q_x \psi(x)$?

$$w \models Q x \psi(x) \Leftrightarrow$$

First, x is attached to all possible positions

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} w_{x=1} \models \psi? = b_1 \\ w_{x=2} \models \psi? = b_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_{x=i} \models \psi? = b_i \\ \vdots \\ w_{x=n} \models \psi? = b_n \end{array} \right\} \longrightarrow Q$$

Then the resulting values are
evaluated by Q

$$Q\text{-Eval}_{1 \leq i \leq n} b_i$$

How to express this in Algebra?

How to express this in Algebra?

Easiest part: express Q by a monoid.

Symmetric Quantifiers

Quantifier

b_+

b_-

Accepting

Rejecting

Symmetric Quantifiers

Quantifier	b_+	b_-	Accepting	Rejecting
\exists	+1	0	> 0	$= 0$

Symmetric Quantifiers

Quantifier	b_+	b_-	Accepting	Rejecting
\exists	+1	0	> 0	$= 0$
\forall	0	+1	$= 0$	> 0

Symmetric Quantifiers

Quantifier	b_+	b_-	Accepting	Rejecting
\exists	+1	0	> 0	$= 0$
\forall	0	+1	$= 0$	> 0
$\exists^{\equiv 0(q)}$	1	0	$q \cdot \mathcal{N}$	$q \cdot \mathcal{N} + \{1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$

Symmetric Quantifiers

Quantifier	b_+	b_-	Accepting	Rejecting
\exists	+1	0	> 0	$= 0$
\forall	0	+1	$= 0$	> 0
$\exists^{\equiv 0(q)}$	1	0	$q \cdot \mathcal{N}$	$q \cdot \mathcal{N} + \{1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$
<i>Maj</i>	+1	-1	> 0	≤ 0

Symmetric Quantifiers

Quantifier	b_+	b_-	Accepting	Rejecting
\exists	+1	0	> 0	$= 0$
\forall	0	+1	$= 0$	> 0
$\exists^{\equiv 0(q)}$	1	0	$q \cdot \mathcal{N}$	$q \cdot \mathcal{N} + \{1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$
<i>Maj</i>	+1	-1	> 0	≤ 0

no negative numbers necessary here

Quantifier Q is expressed by

M_Q A monoid

M_Q^+ An accepting subset

b_+ An element of M_Q representing the value true

b_- An element of M_Q representing the value false

We are now left with the task to check

A sum in M_Q $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \begin{cases} b_+, & w_{x=i} \models \psi \\ b_-, & w_{x=i} \not\models \psi \end{cases} \right) \in M_Q^+?$

The Symmetric Case

The case M_Q commutative and cyclic

- Dimension 1: Single Variables
- Dimension > 1 : Tuples of Variables

The Symmetric Case

The case M_Q commutative and cyclic

- Dimension 1: Single Variables **only the half story**
- Dimension > 1 : Tuples of Variables

The Symmetric Case

The case M_Q commutative and cyclic

- Dimension 1: Single Variables **only the half story**
- Dimension > 1 : Tuples of Variables **only 3/4 of the story**

The Symmetric Case

The case M_Q commutative and cyclic

- Dimension 1: Single Variables **only the half story**
- Dimension > 1 : Tuples of Variables **only 3/4 of the story**

Alias:

$$M_Q \rightarrow B \text{ the Base}$$

$$M_\psi \rightarrow R \text{ the Rest}$$

ΣR : finite abstract sums (multisets) over R : $\sum_{i \in I} r_i$

Addition in ΣR :

$$\sum_{i \in I} r_i + \sum_{j \in J} r'_j := \sum_{k \in K} r''_k,$$

where K is the disjoint union of I with J and $r''_k = r_k$ if $k \in I$ and $r''_k = r'_k$ otherwise.

Pointwise Multiplication in ΣR :

$$\sum_{i \in I} r_i \cdot \sum_{j \in J} r'_j := \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} r_i r'_j$$

abstract sum of products in R

Neutral element of ΣR is e_R regarded as abstract sum.

The empty sum 0 is a zero: $0 \cdot f = f \cdot 0 = 0$ for all $f \in \Sigma R$ and $0 + f = f + 0 = f$.

Symmetric Construction

The d -dimensional case

$|\mathcal{V}| = d$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\square d} R = \{f : 2^{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \Sigma R \mid f(\emptyset) \in R\}$$

Symmetric Construction

The d -dimensional case

$|V| = d$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\square_d} R = \{f : 2^V \rightarrow \Sigma R \mid f(\emptyset) \in R\}$$

Multiplication on $\square_d R$: $f, f' \in \square_d R$:

$$f \odot f'(A) =$$

Symmetric Construction

The d -dimensional case

$|V| = d$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\square d} R = \{f : 2^V \rightarrow \Sigma R \mid f(\emptyset) \in R\}$$

Multiplication on $\square d R$: $f, f' \in \square d R$:

$$f \odot f'(A) = \sum_{A=B \cup B', B \cap B' = \emptyset}$$

Symmetric Construction

The d -dimensional case

$|V| = d$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\square_d} R = \{f : 2^V \rightarrow \Sigma R \mid f(\emptyset) \in R\}$$

Multiplication on $\square_d R$: $f, f' \in \square_d R$:

$$f \odot f'(A) = \sum_{A=B \cup B', B \cap B' = \emptyset} f(B) \cdot f'(B')$$

Observe $f \odot f'(\emptyset) = f(\emptyset) \cdot f'(\emptyset) \in R$

Symmetric Construction

The d -dimensional case

$|V| = d$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\square_d} R = \{f : 2^V \longrightarrow \Sigma R \mid f(\emptyset) \in R\}$$

Multiplication on $\square_d R$: $f, f' \in \square_d R$:

$$f \odot f'(A) = \sum_{A=BU \cup B', B \cap B' = \emptyset} f(B) \cdot f'(B')$$

Observe $f \odot f'(\emptyset) = f(\emptyset) \cdot f'(\emptyset) \in R$

Neutral element of $\square_d R$ is f_0 defined by $f_0(\emptyset) := e_R$ and $f_0(A) := 0$ for $A \neq \emptyset$

The Onedimensional Case

Assume $\mathcal{V} = \{x\}$

Write $f \in \boxed{1}R$ as $(r_x := f(\{x\}), r := f(\emptyset))$

The Onedimensional Case

Assume $\mathcal{V} = \{x\}$

Write $f \in \boxed{1}R$ as $(r_x := f(\{x\}), r := f(\emptyset))$

Then $f \odot f = (r_x r + r r_x, r r)$ Our good old block product

The Onedimensional Case

Assume $\mathcal{V} = \{x\}$

Write $f \in \boxed{1}R$ as $(r_x := f(\{x\}), r := f(\emptyset))$

Then $f \odot f = (r_x r + r r_x, r r)$ Our good old block product

and $f \odot f \odot f = (r_x r r + r r_x r + r r r_x, r r r)$

The Twodimensional Case

Assume $\mathcal{V} = \{x, y\}$

Write $f \in \boxed{2}R$ as $(r_{xy} := f(\{x, y\}), r_x := f(\{x\}), r_y := f(\{y\}), r := f(\emptyset))$

The Twodimensional Case

Assume $\mathcal{V} = \{x, y\}$

Write $f \in \boxed{2}R$ as $(r_{xy} := f(\{x, y\}), r_x := f(\{x\}), r_y := f(\{y\}), r := f(\emptyset))$

Then

$$f \odot f = (r_{xy}r + rr_{xy} + r_x r_y + r_y r_x, r_x r + r r_x, r_y r + r r_y, rr)$$

No longer our good old block product!

The Twodimensional Case

Assume $\mathcal{V} = \{x, y\}$

Write $f \in \boxed{2}R$ as $(r_{xy} := f(\{x, y\}), r_x := f(\{x\}), r_y := f(\{y\}), r := f(\emptyset))$

Then
 $f \odot f = (r_{xy}r + rr_{xy} + r_x r_y + r_y r_x, r_x r + r r_x, r_y r + r r_y, rr)$ No longer our good old block product!

$f \odot f \odot f(\{x, y\}) = r_{xy}rr + rr_{xy}r + rrr_{xy} + r_x r_y r + r_x r r_y + r_y r_x r + r_y r r_x + r r_x r_y + r r_y r_x$

Evaluation

From $f(\mathcal{V}) = \sum_{i \in I} r_i \in \sum R$ and accepting subset $R^+ \subset R$ build:

Evaluation

From $f(\mathcal{V}) = \sum_{i \in I} r_i \in \sum R$ and accepting subset $R^+ \subset R$ build:

$$\sum_{i \in I} \begin{cases} b_+, & r_i \in R^+ \\ b_-, & r_i \notin R^+ \end{cases}$$

Evaluation

From $f(\mathcal{V}) = \sum_{i \in I} r_i \in \sum R$ and accepting subset $R^+ \subset R$ build:

$$\sum_{i \in I} \begin{cases} b_+, & r_i \in R^+ \\ b_-, & r_i \notin R^+ \end{cases}$$

and then test:

$$\left(\sum_{i \in I} \begin{cases} b_+, & r_i \in R^+ \\ b_-, & r_i \notin R^+ \end{cases} \right) \in B^+?$$

Happy End



to cut a long story short

$$w \models \phi = Q_{\vec{x}} \psi(\vec{x}) \Leftrightarrow h_\phi(w) \in M_\phi^+ = (M_Q \boxed{d} M_\psi)^+$$

Remarks

$\pi_\emptyset : \boxed{\mathcal{V}}R \longrightarrow R$ defined by $\pi_\emptyset(f) := f(\emptyset)$ is a morphism corresponding to π_2 in the good old block product.

Remarks

$\pi_{\emptyset} : \boxed{\mathcal{V}}R \longrightarrow R$ defined by $\pi_{\emptyset}(f) := f(\emptyset)$ is a morphism corresponding to π_2 in the good old block product.

$\pi_{\mathcal{V}} : \boxed{\mathcal{V}}R \longrightarrow B$ defined by $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}(f) := B \otimes f(\mathcal{V})$ is not a morphism and somehow corresponds to $\pi_1(\cdot)(e, e)$ in the good old block product.

Remarks

$\pi_{\emptyset} : \boxed{\mathcal{V}}R \longrightarrow R$ defined by $\pi_{\emptyset}(f) := f(\emptyset)$ is a morphism corresponding to π_2 in the good old block product.

$\pi_{\mathcal{V}} : \boxed{\mathcal{V}}R \longrightarrow B$ defined by $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}(f) := B \otimes f(\mathcal{V})$ is not a morphism and somehow corresponds to $\pi_1(\cdot)(e, e)$ in the good old block product.

Nesting the $\boxed{\mathcal{V}}$ -operator needs (finite) formal sums of (finite) formal sums.

The General Case

Case: M_Q not even commutative

- Remarks
- Dimension > 1 : Tuples of Variables

The General Case

Case: M_Q not even commutative

- Remarks
- Dimension > 1 : Tuples of Variables

Alias:

$M_Q \rightarrow B$ the Base

$M_\psi \rightarrow R$ the Rest

Remarks

Example: oracle quantifier Q^L for $L \subset \{0, 1\}^*$

$B = \{0, 1\}^*$, $B^+ = L$, $b_+ = 1$, $b_- = 0$.

By convention, quantifiers over tuples are evaluated in lexicographic order, i.e.:

$Q_{x_1, \dots, x_d}^L \psi(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is evaluated as $\prod_{x_1=1}^n \cdots \prod_{x_d=1}^n \psi(\vec{x})$

General Construction

The d -dimensional case:

Again $\mathcal{V} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$

$$\Sigma^* \boxed{d}' R = \left\{ f = (f_A)_{A \subseteq \mathcal{V}} \mid n \geq 0, f_A : \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^A \longrightarrow R \right\}$$

up to d -dimensional cubes in R where $d = |\mathcal{V}|$. Observe $f_\emptyset \in R$

General Construction

The d -dimensional case:

Again $\mathcal{V} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$

$$\Sigma^* \boxed{d} R = \left\{ f = (f_A)_{A \subseteq \mathcal{V}} \mid n \geq 0, f_A : \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^A \longrightarrow R \right\}$$

up to d -dimensional cubes in R where $d = |\mathcal{V}|$. Observe $f_\emptyset \in R$

We set $|f| := n$

General Construction

The d -dimensional case:

Again $\mathcal{V} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$

$$\Sigma^* \square^d R = \left\{ f = (f_A)_{A \subseteq \mathcal{V}} \mid n \geq 0, f_A : \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^A \longrightarrow R \right\}$$

up to d -dimensional cubes in R where $d = |\mathcal{V}|$. Observe $f_\emptyset \in R$

We set $|f| := n$

Multiplication \odot on $\square^d R$: $f, f' \in \square^d R$ and $A \subseteq \mathcal{V}$:

General Construction

The d -dimensional case:

Again $\mathcal{V} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$

$$\Sigma^* \square^d R = \left\{ f = (f_A)_{A \subseteq \mathcal{V}} \mid n \geq 0, f_A : \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^A \longrightarrow R \right\}$$

up to d -dimensional cubes in R where $d = |\mathcal{V}|$. Observe $f_\emptyset \in R$

We set $|f| := n$

Multiplication \odot on $\square^d R$: $f, f' \in \square^d R$ and $A \subseteq \mathcal{V}$:

$$(f \odot f')_A (i_x)_{x \in A} :=$$

General Construction

The d -dimensional case:

Again $\mathcal{V} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$

$$\Sigma^* \square^d R = \left\{ f = (f_A)_{A \subseteq \mathcal{V}} \mid n \geq 0, f_A : \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^A \longrightarrow R \right\}$$

up to d -dimensional cubes in R where $d = |\mathcal{V}|$. Observe $f_\emptyset \in R$

We set $|f| := n$

Multiplication \odot on $\square^d R$: $f, f' \in \square^d R$ and $A \subseteq \mathcal{V}$:

$$(f \odot f')_A (i_x)_{x \in A} :=$$

$$1 \leq i_x \leq |f \odot f'| := |f| + |f'|$$

General Construction

The d -dimensional case:

Again $\mathcal{V} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$

$$\Sigma^* \square^d R = \left\{ f = (f_A)_{A \subseteq \mathcal{V}} \mid n \geq 0, f_A : \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^A \longrightarrow R \right\}$$

up to d -dimensional cubes in R where $d = |\mathcal{V}|$. Observe $f_\emptyset \in R$

We set $|f| := n$

Multiplication \odot on $\square^d R$: $f, f' \in \square^d R$ and $A \subseteq \mathcal{V}$:

$$(f \odot f')_A (i_x)_{x \in A} :=$$

where $B := \{x \in A \mid i_x \leq |f|\}$ and $B' := A \setminus B$, $1 \leq i_x \leq |f \odot f'| := |f| + |f'|$

General Construction

The d -dimensional case:

Again $\mathcal{V} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$

$$\Sigma^* \square^d R = \left\{ f = (f_A)_{A \subseteq \mathcal{V}} \mid n \geq 0, f_A : \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^A \longrightarrow R \right\}$$

up to d -dimensional cubes in R where $d = |\mathcal{V}|$. Observe $f_\emptyset \in R$

We set $|f| := n$

Multiplication \odot on $\square^d R$: $f, f' \in \square^d R$ and $A \subseteq \mathcal{V}$:

$$(f \odot f')_A (i_x)_{x \in A} := f_B (i_x)_{x \in B} f'_{B'} (i_x - |f|)_{x \in B'}$$

a multiplication in R . Observe: $(f \odot f')_\emptyset \in R$

where $B := \{x \in A \mid i_x \leq |f|\}$ and $B' := A \setminus B$, $1 \leq i_x \leq |f \odot f'| := |f| + |f'|$

General Construction

The d -dimensional case:

Again $\mathcal{V} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$

$$\Sigma^* \square^d R = \left\{ f = (f_A)_{A \subseteq \mathcal{V}} \mid n \geq 0, f_A : \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^A \longrightarrow R \right\}$$

up to d -dimensional cubes in R where $d = |\mathcal{V}|$. Observe $f_\emptyset \in R$

We set $|f| := n$

Multiplication \odot on $\square^d R$: $f, f' \in \square^d R$ and $A \subseteq \mathcal{V}$:

$$(f \odot f')_A (i_x)_{x \in A} := f_B (i_x)_{x \in B} f'_{B'} (i_x - |f|)_{x \in B'}$$

a multiplication in R . Observe: $(f \odot f')_\emptyset \in R$

where $B := \{x \in A \mid i_x \leq |f|\}$ and $B' := A \setminus B$, $1 \leq i_x \leq |f \odot f'| := |f| + |f'|$

Neutral element of $\square^d R$ is ϵ which is the f with $|f| = 0$ and $f_\emptyset = e_R$

The Onedimensional Case

Assume $\mathcal{V} = \{x\}$

Write $f \in \boxed{1}R$ as $(r_x := f_{\{x\}}, r := f_{\emptyset})$

The Onedimensional Case

Assume $\mathcal{V} = \{x\}$

Write $f \in \boxed{1}'R$ as $(r_x := f_{\{x\}}, r := f_{\emptyset})$

Then $f \odot f = ((r_x r, r r_x), rr)$ Our good old block product

The Onedimensional Case

Assume $\mathcal{V} = \{x\}$

Write $f \in \boxed{1}'R$ as $(r_x := f_{\{x\}}, r := f_{\emptyset})$

Then $f \odot f = ((r_x r, r r_x), rr)$ Our good old block product

And $f \odot f \odot f = ((r_x r r, r r_x r, r r r_x), r r r)$

The Twodimensional Case

Assume $\mathcal{V} = \{x, y\}$

Write $f \in \mathbb{Z}'R$ as $(r_{xy} := f_{\{x,y\}}, r_x := f_{\{x\}}, r_y := f_{\{y\}}, r := f_{\emptyset})$

$|f| = 1$

Then

The Twodimensional Case

Assume $\mathcal{V} = \{x, y\}$

Write $f \in \boxed{2}'R$ as $(r_{xy} := f_{\{x,y\}}, r_x := f_{\{x\}}, r_y := f_{\{y\}}, r := f_{\emptyset})$

$|f| = 1$

Then $f \odot f = \left(\begin{array}{cc} r_{xy}r & r_x r_y \\ r_y r_x & r r_{xy} \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} r_x r \\ r r_x \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} r_y r \\ r r_y \end{array}, rr \right)$ No longer our good old block product!

The Twodimensional Case

Assume $\mathcal{V} = \{x, y\}$

Write $f \in \boxed{2}^R$ as $(r_{xy} := f_{\{x,y\}}, r_x := f_{\{x\}}, r_y := f_{\{y\}}, r := f_{\emptyset})$

$|f| = 1$

Then $f \odot f = \left(\begin{array}{cc} r_{xy}r & r_x r_y \\ r_y r_x & r r_{xy} \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} r_x r \\ r r_x \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} r_y r \\ r r_y \end{array}, r r \right)$ No longer our good old block product!

$$((f \odot f) \odot f)_{\{x,y\}} = \frac{\begin{array}{cc|c} r_{xy}r r & r_x r_y r & r_x r r_y \\ r_y r_x r & r r_{xy} r & r r_x r_y \end{array}}{\begin{array}{cc|c} r_y r r_x & r r_y r_x & r r r_{xy} \end{array}} =$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c|cc} r_{xy}r r & r_x r_y r & r_x r r_y \\ r_y r_x r & r r_{xy} r & r r_x r_y \\ r_y r r_x & r r_y r_x & r r r_{xy} \end{array}}{\begin{array}{cc|c} r r_{xy} r & r r_x r_y & r r r_{xy} \end{array}} = (f \odot (f \odot f))_{\{x,y\}}$$

Happy End



to cut a long story short

$$w \models \phi = Q_{\vec{x}} \psi(\vec{x}) \Leftrightarrow h_{\phi}(w) \in M_{\phi}^{+} = (M_Q \boxed{d} M_{\psi})^{+}$$

Discussion

- Construction works only for strong block product, not for weak one?

Discussion

- Construction works only for strong block product, not for weak one?
- Thanks for your patience!