



## Press Release

### **Doping study: Many doping cases remain undiscovered in professional sport.**

**Researchers at the University of Tübingen have found that biological tests only detect a small number of doping cases in comparison to those reported by athletes.**

Dr. Karl Guido Rijkhoek  
Director

Antje Karbe  
Press Officer  
Phone +49 7071 29-76788  
+49 7071 29-76789  
Fax +49 7071 29-5566  
karl.rijkhoek[at]uni-tuebingen.de  
antje.karbe[at]uni-tuebingen.de

[www.uni-tuebingen.de/aktuell](http://www.uni-tuebingen.de/aktuell)

Tübingen, August 29, 2017

A new scientific study has found that doping is far more common in professional sport than the rates suggested by blood and urine tests of the athletes. The study found that at least 30% of athletes in the 2011 International Association of Athletics Federations (IAAF) World Championships and 45% of athletes at the Pan-Arab Games in 2011 claimed to have taken doping drugs or used other doping methods. Only a fraction of these cases were detected by biological tests: At the World Championships, 0.5% of biological tests showed positive for doping agents; this figure rose to 3.6% for the Pan-Arab games. Professor Rolf Ulrich from the University of Tübingen and Professor Harrison Pope from Harvard Medical School, together with an international group of seven other authors, conducted the study on behalf of the World Anti Doping Agency (WADA) in 2011. The results of the study, "Doping in Two Elite Athletics Competitions Assessed by Randomized-Response Surveys" have now been published in the journal *Sports Medicine*. The publication also includes detailed statistical analyses in the appendix which underline the significance of the findings.

The scientists used a "randomized response method" to question a total of 2,167 participants at the World Championships in Daegu (South Korea) and the Pan-Arab Games in Doha (Qatar), asking whether they had taken doping drugs or used other banned doping methods before the competitions. This method ensured the anonymity of the respondents and allowed them to answer honestly without fearing negative consequences.

"The randomized response method is used for sensitive topics. In a direct face-to face interview, respondents would be strongly motivated to provide socially desirable responses, even if these responses were not true. Anonymity gives protection, allowing the respondents to answer honestly," explains Professor Rolf Ulrich, Head of the Cognition and Perception

Research Group at the Department of Psychology at the University of Tübingen.

In the study, six interviewers, who collectively spoke ten languages, attended the competitions and personally asked 2,320 athletes to participate. More than 90% agreed. The athletes were asked on a mobile device to answer one of two questions – an unobtrusive question about a birthdate or a sensitive question about whether they had engaged in banned doping in the past 12 months. The two questions were selected at random. Therefore, if an athlete answered "yes," the investigators could not tell whether the athlete was answering "yes" to the unobtrusive question or "yes" to the sensitive question – thus guaranteeing the athlete's anonymity.

However, even though the investigators could not ascertain which of the two questions had been answered by any individual athlete, they could use statistical methods to closely estimate the percentage of athletes in the overall study group who had answered yes to the doping question. The investigators also took into account different scenarios that might have caused incorrect responses. For example, the fastest responses were not included because the respondents might not have read the text thoroughly.

“Overall, this study suggests that biological tests of blood and urine greatly underestimate the true prevalence of doping,” emphasizes Pope, Professor of Psychiatry at Harvard Medical School. “As we note in the paper, this is probably due to the fact that athletes have found various ways to beat the tests.”

Tests immediately before and during a competition find evidence of doping on average of only 1-3%. However, doping agents are often no longer biologically detectable at this time if they have been taken long before. Somewhat better results are achieved with the "biological passport," which tracks the athlete's medical data and offers a higher detection rate of about 14%. The passport employs long-term documentation which can reveal deviations that could be caused by the abuse of doping agents. Doping agents are defined as all items listed by the WADA on the “List of Prohibited Substances and Methods”.

The current publication of this paper, after a lengthy release procedure, is a credit to the commitment of Professor Georg Sandberger, lawyer and former Executive Vice-President of the University of Tübingen. He represented the scientists in order to reach a publication agreement between them, WADA, and the IAAF. The study had already been discovered by the media in 2015, without any intervention by the authors, at the time that systematic doping was reported in Russian athletics. The British Parliament's Committee on Culture, Media and Sport then organized a hearing on the subject, during which parts of the study were made public without the consent of the authors.


The authors hope that publication of the complete study, together with the detailed statistics provided in its appendix, will stimulate further research on doping elite athletics. “The study brings opportunities for a constructive debate regarding new strategies for combating doping. The randomized response method is a good way to make informed statements about the actual spread of doping,” says Ulrich.

**Publication:** Rolf Ulrich, Harrison G. Pope Jr., Léa Cléret, Andrea Petróczi, Tamás Nepusz, Jay Schaffer, Gen Kanayama, R. Dawn Comstock, Perikles Simon: Doping in Two Elite Athletics Competitions Assessed by Randomized-Response Surveys. In: *Sports Medicine*. DOI 10.1007/s40279-017-0765-4

**Contact:**

Prof. Dr. Rolf Ulrich  
University of Tübingen  
Department of Psychology  
Research Group Cognition and Perception  
Phone: +49 7071 29-72410  
[rolf.ulrich@uni-tuebingen.de](mailto:rolf.ulrich@uni-tuebingen.de)

Prof. Dr. Harrison Pope Jr.  
Harvard Medical School  
McLean Hospital  
Phone: +1 617 855-2911  
[hpope@mclean.harvard.edu](mailto:hpope@mclean.harvard.edu)



**Screen shot from the tablet used in the study:** In this screen, which was available in a choice of 23 languages, the athletes were asked to think of the date of birth of any person that they knew. If the date of birth was between the first and the tenth day of the month, they were asked to answer the unobtrusive Question “A.” If the date of birth was between the 11th and the 31st of the month, they were asked Question “B” regarding whether they had taken doping drugs. This method protected the respondents’ anonymity and ensured that no conclusions could be drawn on individual doping behavior.

Photo: Rolf Ulrich, University of Tübingen  
©Springer International Publishing AG  
2017